

Reading Group on Stochastic Modelling

A brief overview of:

Incomplete Simultaneous Discrete Response Model with Multiple Equilibria

Tamer (2003)

Review of Economic Studies

In relation to:

A Structural Model of Dense Network Formation

Mele (2017)

Econometrica

Mele (2017) footnote n.17 p.830

"The **second part of the assumption 1** [see below] is an identification restriction, that guarantees the **model's coherency** in the sense of Tamer (2003)."

Individual i **values his popularity** effect as much as k **values the indirect link to j** through any "bridging" individual:

$$\underbrace{w_{kj}^{\theta_v} = w(X_k, X_j | \theta_v)}_{\substack{\text{indirect link:} \\ \text{utility for } k \neq i, j \\ \text{for indirectly linking with } j \\ \text{through any } i \neq k, j \\ \textcircled{k} \rightarrow \textcircled{i} \rightarrow \textcircled{j}}} = \underbrace{v(X_k, X_j | \theta_v) = v_{kj}^{\theta_v}}_{\substack{\text{popularity:} \\ \text{utility for any } i \neq k, j \\ \text{for bridging } k \text{ to } j \\ \textcircled{k} \rightarrow \textcircled{i} \rightarrow \textcircled{j}}} \quad \forall k, j \in \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I}$$

Under assumption 1.2 any individual $i \in \mathcal{I}$ **internalizes all the externalities generated by his links**:

- The **popularity** component of $U_i(g, \mathbf{X} | \Theta)$ is **equal to** the **sum**, over all $k \in \mathcal{I} - i$, of the utility of **indirect links of k passing through i** , which are the indirect links that can be **influenced** by i 's link-formation **decisions**;

Summary of Tamer (2003)

Modelling framework - 2x2 entry-game with perfect information

two players ($i \in \{-1, 1\}$), action set ($y_i \in \{0, 1\}$) and externalities δ_i . The payoff π_i of player i is defined as:

Where:
$$\pi_i := y_i(x_i\beta_i + y_{-i}\delta_i + u_i)$$

- $\mathbf{y} = (y_{-1}, y_1)$ are response variables;
- $\mathbf{x} = (x_{-1}, x_1) \in \mathcal{R}^d$ are observable exogenous variables;
- $\mathbf{u} = (u_{-1}, u_1)$ are random variables unobservable to the econometricians;
- $\beta = (\beta_{-1}, \beta_1, \delta_{-1}, \delta_1)$ are parameters of interest;

Distinction of model identification issues - Incoherency Vs Incompleteness

1— **incoherent model**: hasn't a well-defined reduced form, or, is logically inconsistent. For example:

if externalities δ_{-1} and δ_1 are both negative, the above model gives

$$Pr[(0, 0)|x] + Pr[(0, 1)|x] + Pr[(1, 0)|x] + Pr[(1, 1)|x] > 1$$

2— **incomplete model**: the relationship from input variables (x_i s and u_i s) to responses (y_i s) is a **correspondence** and not a function. For example:

if δ_i s are both negative, \exists a non-empty region of \mathbf{u} 's support for which the model predicts a non-unique outcome (1, 0) OR (0, 1)









Contribution and findings of Tamer (2003)

- ❖ **Identifies sufficient conditions for parameter point identification (when externalities have same sign);**
- ❖ **Develops a technique for semi-parametric maximum (quasi)likelihood (SML) estimation:** by "replacing" $Pr[(y_{-1}, y_1)|x]$ for outcomes (0,1) and/or (1,0), with local approximations of the the empirical relative frequencies of these outcomes as a function of exogenous variables;








Why Assumption 1.2 relevant for identification in Mele (2017)?

1. **externalities are "paired":** each **indirect-link** effect has a **corresponding popularity effect** with same sign, value and parameter;
2. **number of parameters of the model is reduced:** from 4 $(\theta_u, \theta_m, \theta_w, \theta_v)$ to 3 $(\theta_u, \theta_m, \theta_v)$. Condition necessary for model completeness (?);
3. guarantees that the system of **conditional linking probabilities** implied by the model **generate a proper joint distribution** of the network matrix;
4. can **use** the potential function Q **to construct the network as a best-response potential game**. Via **sequential link-formation decisions** the game converges through an **improvement path** to a **Pure Strategy Nash Equilibrium** network with $Pr = 1$;




References I

-  Jackson, M. O. (2008), *Social and Economics Networks*. Princeton
-  Lovasz, L. (2012), *Large Networks and Graph Limits*. American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, Vol. 60. American Mathematical Society
-  Badev, A. (2017), "Discrete Games in Endogenous Networks: Equilibria and Policy", Working Paper. Available at arXiv <https://arxiv.org/abs/1705.03137v1>
-  Besag, J. (1974), "Spatial Interaction and the Statistical Analysis of Lattice Systems", *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 36(2):192–236.
-  Caimo, A., & N. Friel (2011), "Bayesian Inference for Exponential Random Graph Models", *Social Networks*, 33(1):41–55
-  Chatterjee, S., & S. R. S. Varadhan (2011), "The Large Deviation Principle for the Erdős-Rényi Random Graph" *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 32(7):1000–1017. Homomorphisms and Limits
-  Christakis, N., J. Fowler, G. W. Imbens, & K. Kalyanaraman (2010), "An Empirical Model for Strategic Network Formation" Harvard University
-  Frank, O., & D. Strauss (1986), "Markov Graphs", *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 81:832–842

References II

-  Graham, B. (2017), "An Econometric Model of Network Formation With Degree Heterogeneity", *Econometrica*, 85(4):1033-1063
-  Gouriéroux, J., Lafont, J. J. and Monfort, A. (1981), "Coherency Conditions in Simultaneous Linear Equation Models with Endogenous Switching Regimes", *Econometrica*, 48(3):675-696.
-  Hsieh, C.-S., & L.-F. Lee (2016), "A Social Interactions Model With Endogenous Friendship Formation and Selectivity", *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 31(2):301-319
-  Leung, M. (2018), "A weak law for moments of pairwise-stable networks", Working Paper. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2663685>
-  Monderer, D., & L. Shapley (1996), "Potential Games", *Games and Economic Behavior*, 14, 124-143.
-  Mele, A., & L. Zhu (2017), "Approximate Variational Estimation for a Model of Network Formation", Working Paper. Available at arXiv: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.00308>
-  Menzel, K. (2017), "Strategic Network Formation With Many Agents", Working Papers, NYU
-  Murray, I. A., Z. Ghahramani, & D. J. C. MacKay (2006), "MCMC for Doubly-Intractable Distributions", *Uncertainty in Artificial Intelligence*
-  Miyauchi, Y. (2016), "Structural Estimation of a Pairwise Stable Network Formation With Nonnegative Externality", *Journal of Econometrics*, 195(2):224-235

References III

-  Snijders, T. A. B. (2002), “Markov Chain Monte Carlo Estimation of Exponential Random Graph Models”, *Journal of Social Structure*, 3(2)
-  Tamer, E. (2003), “Incomplete Simultaneous Discrete Response Model with Multiple Equilibria”, *The Review of Economic Studies*, 70:147–165.
-  Wainwright, M. J., & M. Jordan (2008), “Graphical Models, Exponential Families, and Variational Inference”, *Foundations and Trends® in Machine Learning*, 1(1–2):1–305